

No. 17-1351

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

INTERNATIONAL REFUGEE ASSISTANCE PROJECT, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

vs.

DONALD TRUMP, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellants.

**On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Maryland**

Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-00361
The Honorable Theodore D. Chuang

**BRIEF OF EPISCOPAL BISHOPS AS *AMICI CURIAE*
IN SUPPORT OF APPELLEES AND AFFIRMANCE, IN OPPOSITION
TO APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR A STAY AND ON THE MERITS**

HILLIS CLARK MARTIN & PETERSON P.S.
MICHAEL R. SCOTT
AMIT D. RANADE
LISA J. CHAIET RAHMAN
999 Third Avenue, Suite 4600
Seattle, Washington 98104
(206) 623-1745

Counsel for Amici Curiae

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
II. ARGUMENT	4
A. The Founders Adopted the Establishment Clause to Protect Against the Rise of Religious Persecution and to Ensure That the United States Remained a Safe Haven for Followers of All Religions.....	6
B. The Revised Executive Order Undermines the Protection Against Religious Persecution Embedded in the Establishment Clause and the United States' Role as a Safe Haven for Followers of All Religions.	9
III. CONCLUSION	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

Cases

<i>Engel v. Vitale</i> , 370 U.S. 421 (1962)	8, 10
<i>Everson v. Bd. of Educ. of Ewing Twp.</i> , 330 U.S. 1 (1947)	7, 8, 9, 10
<i>Reynolds v. United States</i> , 98 U.S. 145 (1878).....	10

Other Authorities

A. Ansari, N. Robertson, and A. Dewan, <i>World leaders react to Trump’s travel ban</i> , CNN (Jan. 30, 2017), www.cnn.com/2017/01/30/politics/trump-travel-ban-world-reaction/	11
Amy B. Wang, <i>Trump asked for a ‘Muslim ban,’ Giuliani says — and ordered a commission to do it ‘legally’</i> , The Washington Post (Jan. 29, 2017), http://wpo.st/xzuY2	4
Donald J. Trump, <i>Statement on Preventing Muslim Immigration</i> (Dec. 7, 2015), www.donaldjtrump.com/press-releases/donald-j.-trump-statement-on-preventing-muslim-immigration	4, 5
<i>Full Transcript: President Donald Trump’s News Conference</i> , CNN (Feb. 16, 2017), http://www.cnn.com/2017/02/16/politics/donald-trump-news-conference-transcript	4
James Madison, <i>Memorial and Remonstrance Against Religious Assessments</i> (June 20, 1785)	8
Katie Reilly, <i>Donald Trump on Proposed Muslim Ban: ‘You Know My Plans’</i> , Time (Dec. 21, 2016), http://time.com/4611229/donald-trump-berlin-attack	4

M. Zapotosky, D. Nakamura, & A. Hauslohner, <i>Revised Executive Order Bans Travelers from Six Muslim-Majority Countries from Getting New Visas</i> , Washington Post (Mar. 6, 2017), www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/new-executive-order-bans-travelers-from-six-muslim-majority-countries-applying-for-visas/2017/03/06/ 3012a42a-0277-11e7-ad5b-d22680e18d10_story.html?utm_term=.1f6730369a62	5
Patricia U. Bonomi, <i>Under the Cope of Heaven: Religion, Society, and Politics in Colonial America</i> (2003).....	7
<i>Press Briefing by Secretary Sean Spicer, No. 18</i> , The White House (Mar. 7, 2017), www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2017/03/07/press-briefing-press-secretary-sean-spicer-372017-18	5
Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry Into the United States, Exec. Order No. 13,769, 82 Fed. Reg. 8977 (Jan. 27, 2017).....	4
Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry Into the United States, Exec. Order No. 13,780, 82 Fed. Reg. 13210-11 (Mar. 6, 2017).....	5
Rebecca Shabad, <i>Donald Trump says he’s expanding his Muslim ban</i> , CBS (July 24, 2016), http://www.cbsnews.com/news/donald-trump-says-hes-expanding-muslim-ban	4
S. Sengupta, <i>U.N. Leader Says Trump Visa Bans ‘Violate Our Basic Principles,’</i> N.Y. Times (Feb. 1, 2017), www.nytimes.com/2017/02/01/world/trump-immigration-ban-un.html	10
Sanford Hoadley Cobb, <u><i>The Rise of Religious Liberty in America</i></u> (1902).....	9
T. Salim, <i>RI Regrets Trump’s Muslim Ban</i> , The Jakarta Post (Jan. 30, 2017), www.thejakartapost.com/news/2017/01/30/ri-regrets-trump-s-muslim-ban.html	11

I. IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF *AMICI CURIAE*

Amici curiae are the Rt. Rev. Gladstone B. Adams III, Bishop Provisional of the Episcopal Church of South Carolina; the Rt. Rev. Patrick Bell, Bishop of the Diocese of Eastern Oregon; the Rt. Rev. Barry L. Beisner, Bishop of the Diocese of Northern California; Rt. Rev. Ian T. Douglas, Bishop Diocesan of the Episcopal Church of Connecticut; the Rt. Rev. Thomas Ely, Bishop of the Diocese of Vermont; the Rt. Rev. Mary Gray-Reeves, Bishop of the Diocese of El Camino Real; the Rt. Rev. Scott Hayashi, Bishop of the Diocese of Utah; the Rt. Rev. Mark Lattime, Bishop of the Diocese of Alaska; the Rt. Rev. Robert O'Neill, Bishop of the Diocese of Colorado; the Rt. Rev. Rayford Ray, Bishop of the Diocese of Northern Michigan; the Rt. Rev. David Rice, Bishop of the Diocese of San Joaquin; and the Rt. Rev. Gregory Rickel, Bishop of the Diocese of Olympia (also known as the Episcopal Church of Western Washington) (collectively, the “Bishops”).¹

The Episcopal Church is organized into 111 geographic dioceses, which include more than 7,000 congregations. Each Bishop, whose authority in his or

¹ No counsel for a party authored this brief in whole or in part, and no such counsel or a party contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting this brief. No persons other than the amici curiae, their constituents, or their counsel contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting the brief. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 29(a)(4)(E).

her diocese is both sacramental and constitutional within the Episcopal Church, governs the diocese, together with local representative bodies.

Among the central tenets of the Episcopal Church (the “Church”) are “to welcome the immigrant and the stranger,” especially those who are poor, sick, and most in need of help, to provide a safe haven for those seeking freedom from oppression, and to uphold the dignity of every human being.² To that end, the Church has an active global missionary program and a refugee resettlement program here in the United States. The Church’s multicultural ministries promote culturally and ethnically diverse congregations and encourage the dioceses to form global relationships “as part of the process of developing the cross-cultural nature of the Communion.”³

Welcoming immigrants and helping refugees are some of the ways in which Episcopalians honor their baptismal covenant with God. The Bishops have made “commitment[s] to honor immigrants, refugees, and neighbors from

² *Episcopal churches issue statement ‘of shared values about immigration and refugees’; encourage others to sign*, Episcopal News Service (Mar. 16, 2017), <http://www.episcopalchurch.org/library/article/episcopal-churches-issue-statement-shared-values-about-immigration-and-refugees>.

³ *Episcopal Church Mission Relationships*, <http://www.episcopalchurch.org/page/mission-relationships>.

different religions”⁴ and are deeply concerned that the President’s Revised Executive Order, like its immediate predecessor, violates these values and impairs their ability to practice these commitments. The Bishops earnestly believe that the Revised Executive Order impedes the ability of Episcopalians to practice their faith.

This executive order has slammed the door on people who have suffered some of the greatest atrocities in recent times, and it does this solely on the basis of their religion. From its earliest inception, the United States has been a safe haven for followers of all religions, in part because religious tolerance is a value enshrined in the Constitution through the Establishment Clause. The President’s Original Executive Order and his Revised Executive Order directly contradict these values, and in doing so undermine America’s longstanding and special status as a place of refuge for the world’s most vulnerable populations.

Both as leaders in the Episcopal Church and as members of the broader faith community, the Bishops have a deep interest in preserving this country’s special status as a safe haven for immigrants and as a protector of the fundamental principle—enshrined in the United States Constitution—of religious tolerance.

⁴ Episcopal churches issue statement ‘of shared values about immigration and refugees’; encourage others to sign, *supra* note 5.

II. ARGUMENT

The Revised Executive Order, like its predecessor, undermines the United States' essential role as a safe haven for practitioners of all religions. From the beginning of his presidential campaign, President Donald J. Trump called for a "total and complete shutdown of Muslims entering the United States."⁵ One week after he took office, the President made good on his threat by issuing an executive order banning everyone but religious minorities from seven majority-Muslim countries.⁶ In the weeks that followed, the President and his senior advisors confirmed that this was his long-promised "Muslim ban."⁷ When it became clear that the Original Executive Order would not pass constitutional muster, the President and his senior advisors revised its

⁵ Donald J. Trump, *Statement on Preventing Muslim Immigration* (Dec. 7, 2015), www.donaldjtrump.com/press-releases/donald-j.-trump-statement-on-preventing-muslim-immigration.

⁶ See Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry Into the United States, Exec. Order No. 13,769, 82 Fed. Reg. 8977 (Jan. 27, 2017) ("Original Executive Order")

⁷ E.g., *Full Transcript: President Donald Trump's News Conference*, CNN (Feb. 16, 2017), <http://www.cnn.com/2017/02/16/politics/donald-trump-news-conference-transcript>; Rebecca Shabad, *Donald Trump says he's expanding his Muslim ban*, CBS (July 24, 2016), <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/donald-trump-says-hes-expanding-muslim-ban>; Katie Reilly, *Donald Trump on Proposed Muslim Ban: 'You Know My Plans'*, Time (Dec. 21, 2016), <http://time.com/4611229/donald-trump-berlin-attack> (last accessed Mar. 13, 2017); Amy B. Wang, *Trump asked for a 'Muslim ban,' Giuliani says — and ordered a commission to do it 'legally'*, The Washington Post (Jan. 29, 2017), <http://wpo.st/xzuY2> (last accessed Mar. 13, 2017).

text, removed Iraq from the list, and issued the document as a Revised Executive Order.⁸ The President and his senior advisors have made clear in fundraising e-mails⁹ and statements to the press¹⁰ that the Revised Executive Order has the same intent as the Original Executive Order—the implementation of the President’s desired “total and complete shutdown of Muslims entering the United States.”¹¹

Other *amici curiae*, including New York University and the Interfaith Coalition, have thoughtfully explained how the Revised Executive Order violates the Establishment Clause. The Bishops join in those arguments, and submit the following historical background and context for the Court’s benefit.

⁸ See Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry Into the United States, Exec. Order No. 13,780, 82 Fed. Reg. 13210-11 (Mar. 6, 2017) (“Revised Executive Order”).

⁹ M. Zapotosky, D. Nakamura, & A. Hauslohner, *Revised Executive Order Bans Travelers from Six Muslim-Majority Countries from Getting New Visas*, Washington Post (Mar. 6, 2017), www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/new-executive-order-bans-travelers-from-six-muslim-majority-countries-applying-for-visas/2017/03/06/3012a42a-0277-11e7-ad5b-d22680e18d10_story.html?utm_term=.1f6730369a62.

¹⁰ *Press Briefing by Secretary Sean Spicer, No. 18*, The White House (Mar. 7, 2017), www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2017/03/07/press-briefing-press-secretary-sean-spicer-372017-18.

¹¹ Trump, *supra* note 5.

A. The Founders Adopted the Establishment Clause to Protect Against the Rise of Religious Persecution and to Ensure That the United States Remained a Safe Haven for Followers of All Religions.

The Founders adopted the Establishment Clause in part to stop the growing sectarianism and resulting religious persecution that plagued the British Colonies in the mid-1700s. They sought to enshrine America's role as a safe haven for followers of all religions and to guard against precisely the sectarian partisanship that underlies the Executive Orders at issue here.

From its earliest conception, the New World had been a haven for those fleeing oppression in the Old World. "A large proportion of the early settlers of this country came here from Europe to escape the bondage of laws which compelled them to support and attend government favored churches."¹² They came here to avoid "turmoil, civil strife, and persecutions, generated in large part by established sects determined to maintain their absolute political and religious supremacy."¹³ But as life in the Colonies developed, the formerly persecuted became persecutors—often repeating "many of the old world practices and persecutions" they escaped.¹⁴

¹² *Everson v. Bd. of Educ. of Ewing Twp.*, 330 U.S. 1, 8 (1947).

¹³ *Id.* at 8-9.

¹⁴ *Id.* at 10.

It is an unfortunate fact of history that when some of the very groups which had most strenuously opposed the established Church of England found themselves sufficiently in control of colonial governments in this country to write their own prayers into law, they passed laws making their own religion the official religion of their respective colonies.¹⁵

Accordingly, Virginia's early code of laws imposed fines, whippings, or months in the gallows for failure to attend church twice daily.¹⁶ The early Jews arriving in Maryland from Brazil were denied citizenship, the right to worship, and the right to operate public businesses.¹⁷ In Puritan New England, religious minorities were punished with whippings, ear croppings, and even hangings.¹⁸ "These practices became so commonplace as to shock the freedom-loving colonials into a feeling of abhorrence. . . . It was these feelings which found expression in the First Amendment."¹⁹

The movement towards the Establishment Clause began in earnest in 1785 with a proposal to renew Virginia's tax levy for the support of the established Church of England.²⁰ In opposition to the proposal, James Madison wrote his famous *Memorial and Remonstrance*, in which he argued that

¹⁵ *Engel v. Vitale*, 370 U.S. 421, 427 (1962).

¹⁶ Patricia U. Bonomi, *Under the Cope of Heaven: Religion, Society, and Politics in Colonial America* 36 (2003).

¹⁷ *Id.* at 43.

¹⁸ *Id.* at 44.

¹⁹ *Everson*, 330 U.S. at 11.

²⁰ See *id.*

renewing the levy for support of the Church was “a dangerous abuse of power”²¹ Among his arguments is one that applies squarely to the Executive Orders at issue here. Madison cautioned that renewing the religious tax would be “a departure from that generous policy, which, offering an Asylum to the persecuted and oppressed of every Nation and Religion, promised a lustre to our country, and an accession to the number of its citizens.”²² He specifically warned that victims of oppression abroad would look elsewhere for refuge if America fell into the trap of establishing a national religion and penalizing those who do not adhere to it:

What a melancholy mark is the Bill of sudden degeneracy? Instead of holding forth an Asylum to the persecuted, it is itself a signal of persecution. It degrades from the equal rank of Citizens all those whose opinions in Religion do not bend to those of the Legislative authority. Distant as it may be in its present form from the Inquisition, it differs from it only in degree. The one is the first step, the other the last in the career of intolerance. The magnanimous sufferer under this cruel scourge in foreign Regions, must view the Bill as a Beacon on our Coast, warning him to seek some other haven, where liberty and philanthropy [sic] in their due extent, may offer a more certain repose from his Troubles.²³

²¹ James Madison, *Memorial and Remonstrance Against Religious Assessments* (June 20, 1785).

²² *Id.* at ¶ 9.

²³ *Id.* (emphasis added).

Madison's warnings proved extremely effective. Not only was the bill defeated, but the Virginia "Assembly enacted the famous 'Virginia Bill for Religious Liberty' originally written by Thomas Jefferson."²⁴ Madison's work and ideas spread beyond Virginia, and several other colonies considered similar legislation at the time.²⁵ In *Reynolds v. United States*,²⁶ the Supreme Court recognized that this movement led to the inclusion of the Establishment Clause in the First Amendment. As noted above, a fundamental argument in favor of the Establishment Clause was that religious discrimination is an anathema to the United States' special role as a beacon of hope and refuge for the oppressed.

B. The Revised Executive Order Undermines the Protection Against Religious Persecution Embedded in the Establishment Clause and the United States' Role as a Safe Haven for Followers of All Religions.

Beyond violating the letter of the Establishment Clause, the Revised Executive Order causes the very harms that James Madison identified in his *Memorial and Remonstrance*. It has shaken the world's faith in the United States as a home for people suffering religious, ethnic, political, and other

²⁴ *Everson*, 330 U.S. at 12.

²⁵ See *Engel*, 370 U.S. at 428-29 (citing, *inter alia*, Sanford Hoadley Cobb, The Rise of Religious Liberty in America 74-115 (1902)).

²⁶ 98 U.S. 145, 164 (1878).

strife; it has caused many to question the promises provided by the Constitution that sectarianism and religious persecution will never again infect the United States.

In the days following the signing of the Original Executive Order, the anxiety within Muslim communities that had been steadily rising throughout the presidential primaries,²⁷ turned to dread as families were torn apart and the future of individuals from the seven targeted countries became unknown.²⁸

International humanitarian organizations and leaders denounced the President's actions, including the United Nations Secretary General who stated that the

²⁷ See, e.g., Eric Lichtblau, *Hate Crimes Against American Muslims Most Since Post-9/11 Era*, The New York Times (Sept. 17, 2016), <https://www.nytimes.com/2016/09/18/us/politics/hate-crimes-american-muslims-rise.html>; Christopher Ingraham, *Donald Trump is bringing anti-Muslim prejudice into the mainstream*, The Washington Post (Aug. 1, 2016) https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2016/08/01/donald-trump-is-bringing-anti-muslim-prejudice-into-the-mainstream/?utm_term=.2ba9d2d240cf; Tim Mak, *Trump Rants Terrorize U.S. Muslim Capital* (Mar. 7, 2016), <http://www.thedailybeast.com/articles/2016/03/08/trump-rants-terrorize-u-s-muslim-capital.html>; Dean Obeidallah, *America facing anti-Muslim bigotry*, CNN (Feb. 23, 2015), <http://www.cnn.com/2015/02/23/opinion/obeidallah-muslims-america-bigotry/>.

²⁸ See, e.g., Steve Benen, MSNBC, *Trump stumbles into international crisis with Muslim ban* (Jan. 30, 2017), <http://www.msnbc.com/rachel-maddow-show/trump-stumbles-international-crisis-muslim-ban>; Amy La Porte and Azadeh Ansari, *They were hoping to get to the US—and then Trump banned them*, CNN (Jan. 29, 2017), <http://www.cnn.com/2017/01/28/politics/trump-visa-ban-families-refugees-stories/>.

“measures [taken in the Executive Order] spread anxiety and anger” and that they “indeed violate our basic principles.”²⁹ Lawmakers in Indonesia, home to the world’s largest Muslim population, decried the Original Executive Order as an act that will “diminish the U.S. standing [sic] as a beacon for democracy.”³⁰ German Chancellor Angela Merkel likewise remarked that the Executive Order is “against the core idea of international aid for refugees and international cooperation.”³¹ The world views the Executive Orders as America retreating from its traditional role as a protector of religious freedoms.

The uncertainty within Muslim communities subsided little after revocation of the Original Executive Order and the issuance of the Revised Executive Order, even for individuals no longer affected. Taif Jany, an Iraqi permanent resident in the United States, published an op-ed piece describing his fear: “[M]y country of origin has now been removed from the list of banned countries. But . . . I still fear for my future in this country. I worry that that

²⁹ S. Sengupta, *U.N. Leader Says Trump Visa Bans ‘Violate Our Basic Principles,’* N.Y. Times (Feb. 1, 2017), www.nytimes.com/2017/02/01/world/trump-immigration-ban-un.html.

³⁰ T. Salim, *RI Regrets Trump’s Muslim Ban*, The Jakarta Post (Jan. 30, 2017), www.thejakartapost.com/news/2017/01/30/ri-regrets-trump-s-muslim-ban.html.

³¹ A. Ansari, N. Robertson, and A. Dewan, *World leaders react to Trump’s travel ban*, CNN (Jan. 30, 2017), www.cnn.com/2017/01/30/politics/trump-travel-ban-world-reaction/.

future is now dangerously unpredictable and I simply don't feel safe anymore.

What makes that feeling worse is the fact that I fled Iraq because of religious persecution; religious freedom is what made America feel like home for me.”³²

Moreover, religious persecution against Muslims and other minority groups increased during the presidential campaign³³ and the Revised Executive Order suggests tolerance of religious oppression.³⁴ Between January 1 and March 27, 2017—roughly the time period encompassing the presidential election and issuances of both Executive Orders—the Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) recorded 35 attacks on mosques across 19 states,

³²Taif Jany, *I Fled Persecution In Iraq, But Now I Fear For My Future In America* (Mar. 14, 2017), http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/trumps-immigration-policies-are-a-dangerous-threat_us_58c83227e4b02b847ccaa9dd; see, e.g., *US cardinal: children are crying at school because they fear their parents will be deported*, Catholic Herald (Apr. 13, 2017), <http://www.catholicherald.co.uk/news/2017/04/13/us-cardinal-children-are-crying-at-school-because-they-fear-their-parents-will-be-deported/>

³³ Grant Smith and Daniel Trotta, Reuters, *U.S. hate crimes up 20 percent in 2016 fueled by election campaign-report* (Mar. 13, 2017), <http://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-crime-hate-idUSKBN16L0BO>.

³⁴ See Press Release, CAIR Decries Trump Administration's 'Deafening Silence' on Series of Anti-Muslim Incidents Nationwide (Mar. 24, 2017), <https://www.cair.com/press-center/press-releases/14225-cair-decries-trump-administration-s-deafening-silence-on-series-of-anti-muslim-incidents-nationwide.html>.

almost twice as many as the same time period in 2016.³⁵ CAIR also reports “almost daily attacks on American Muslims and other minority groups nationwide in recent months.”³⁶ Data collected by the Center for the Study of Hate and Extremism at California State University in San Bernardino supports CAIR’s assertions: “After then-Candidate Trump’s Muslim ban announcement on Dec 7, 2015, in the next five days, we saw an 87.5-percent increase in hate crimes against Muslims.”³⁷

The Revised Executive Order also undermines the efforts of religious organizations in the United States, including the Episcopal Church, to render aid to those fleeing war and oppression. Although the suspension of the United States Refugee Assistance Program (USRAP) is not before the Court, the Church’s work with immigrants other than those arriving through USRAP

³⁵ *This map shows how many mosques have been targeted just this year*, CNN.com (Mar. 20, 2017), <http://edition.cnn.com/2017/03/20/us/mosques-targeted-2017-trnd/index.html>.

³⁶ Press Release, CAIR Calls for Hate Crime Probes of Anti-Muslim Incidents in Wisconsin, Texas (Apr. 12, 2017), <http://www.cair.com/press-center/press-releases/14272-cair-calls-for-hate-crime-probes-of-anti-muslim-incidents-in-wisconsin-texas.html>

³⁷ Phil Lavelle, *Advocacy groups look for reason behind anti-Muslim hate crimes spike in US* (Mar. 14, 2017), <https://america.cgtn.com/2017/03/14/advocacy-groups-look-for-reason-behind-anti-muslim-hate-crimes-spike-in-us>; *see also Faculty in the News: CSUSB center’s latest report on hate crimes gains news media attention* (Mar. 16, 2017), <http://news.csusb.edu/2017/03/16/csusb-centers-latest-report-on-hate-crimes-gains-news-media-attention/>.

supports individuals and families who flee war and oppression through other immigration opportunities. For many Americans, this type of immigrant-assistance work is an expression of their faith and one of the ways in which they keep their covenant with God. The Episcopal Church and its members provide a multitude of services to immigrants, including assistance in applications for visas and travel documents, housing assistance, citizenship classes, advocacy, and language tutoring.³⁸

The Revised Executive Order has caused and continues to cause significant harm to the very vulnerable people that the Church serves. These individuals and families are fleeing persecution, poverty, and war in their countries of origin, and because of the President's Executive Orders, they now face persecution in the safe haven they had been promised in the United States. The refusal to admit immigrants from the six targeted countries will not only rob families of hope and a future, but will also cost some of them their lives. It has and will continue to debilitate the immigration work of the Church and other religious efforts like it, and it will deprive Americans of the opportunity to practice their faith through service to others in need.

³⁸ *Immigration Services*, Diocese of Seattle, <http://www.dioceseroseattle.org/findservices/immigrationservices.html>.

III. CONCLUSION

The words inscribed on the base of the Statute of Liberty invite the world to give America its tired, its poor, its huddled masses yearning to breathe free. This idea—that victims of oppression around the world will find refuge on our shores—is enshrined in the Establishment Clause and is given life in part by religious organizations like the Episcopal Church, who actively work to welcome immigrants as an expression of their own faith. The Revised Executive Order violates the letter and the spirit of the Establishment Clause. It deprives nationals of Syria, Iran, Sudan, Libya, Somalia and Yemen of the opportunity to live a life free from war and poverty and it deprives many Americans of the opportunity to practice their faith through service to others. For these reasons, the Bishops urge the Court to refuse to stay the District Court's injunction of Section 2(c) pending appeal and to affirm the District Court's injunction.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of April, 2017.

HILLIS CLARK MARTIN & PETERSON P.S.

By: s/ Michael R. Scott
Michael R. Scott, WSBA #12822
Amit D. Ranade, WSBA #34878
Lisa J. Chalet Rahman, WSBA #51531
Attorneys for Amicus Curiae

STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES

Pursuant to Circuit Rule 28-2.6, Appellees state that they are unaware of any related cases pending in this Court.

DATED this 19th day of April, 2017.

HILLIS CLARK MARTIN & PETERSON P.S.

By: s/ Michael R. Scott

Michael R. Scott, WSBA #12822

Amit D. Ranade, WSBA #34878

Lisa J. Chalet Rahman, WSBA #51531

Hillis Clark Martin & Peterson P.S.

999 Third Avenue, Suite 4600

Seattle, Washington 98104

Telephone: (206) 623-1745

Facsimile: (206) 623-7789

Email: Michael.Scott@hcmp.com;

Amit.Ranade@hcmp.com;

Lisa.Rahman@hcmp.com

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

1. This brief or other document complies with type-volume limits because, excluding the parts of the document exempted by Fed. R. App. R. 32(f) (cover page, disclosure statement, table of contents, table of citations, statement regarding oral argument, signature block, certificates of counsel, addendum, attachments), this brief or other document contains 2,387 words.
2. This brief or other document complies with the typeface and type style requirements because this document has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2013 in 14 point Times New Roman font.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of April, 2017.

HILLIS CLARK MARTIN & PETERSON P.S.

By: s/ Michael R. Scott
Michael R. Scott, WSBA #12822
Amit D. Ranade, WSBA #34878
Lisa J. Chalet Rahman, WSBA #51531
Hillis Clark Martin & Peterson P.S.
999 Third Avenue, Suite 4600
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone: (206) 623-1745
Facsimile: (206) 623-7789
Email: Michael.Scott@hcmp.com;
Amit.Ranade@hcmp.com;
Lisa.Rahman@hcmp.com
Attorneys for Amicus Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 19th day of April, 2017, the foregoing document was served on all parties or their counsel of record through the CM/ECF system if they are registered users or, if they are not, by serving a true and correct copy at the addresses listed below:

Amir H. Ali
RODERICK & SOLANGE
MACARTHUR JUSTICE CENTER
718 7th Street, NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20001
Email:
Amir.Ali@macarthurjustice.org

Richard D. Bernstein
WILLKIE, FARR &
GALLAGHER
1875 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-0000
Email: rbernstein@willkie.com

H. Thomas Byron III
Anne Murphy
Lowell Vernon Sturgill Jr.
Sharon Swingle
Jeffrey Bryan Wall
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530
Email: H.Thomas.Byron@usdoj.gov;
Anne.Murphy@usdoj.gov;
lowell.sturgill@usdoj.gov;
Sharon.Swingle@usdoj.gov;
Jeffrey.B.Wall@usdoj.gov

Amanda Rebecca Callais
Elisabeth Carmel Frost
PERKINS COIE LLP
700 13th Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005-2011
Email: acallais@perkinscoie.com;
efrost@perkinscoie.com

Kevin B. Collins
William Edward Zapf III
COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP
1 City Center
850 10th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4956
Email: kcollins@cov.com;
wzapf@cov.com

William Spencer Consovoy
CONSOVOY MCCARTHY
PARK PLLC
3033 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 700
Arlington, VA 22201
Email:
will@consovoymccarthy.com

Justin Bryan Cox
NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW
CENTER
1989 College Avenue, NE
Atlanta, GA 30317
Email: justinbcox@gmail.com

Trevor Stephen Cox
Stuart Alan Raphael
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL OF VIRGINIA
202 North 9th Street
Richmond, VA 23219-0000
Email: tcox@oag.state.va.us;
sraphael@oag.state.va.us

Nicholas David Espiritu
Esther Hsiao-In Sung
Karen C. Tumlin
Melissa S. Keaney
NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW
CENTER
3435 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Email: espiritu@nilc.org;
sung@nilc.org; tumlin@nilc.org;
keaney@nilc.org

Jonathan Marc Freiman
WIGGIN & DANA
195 Church Street
P. O. Box 1832
New Haven, CT 06508-0000
Email: jfreiman@wiggin.com

Lee P. Gelernt
Omar C. Jadwat
Cecillia D. Wang
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION
125 Broad Street
New York, NY 10004-2400
Email: lgelernt@aclu.org;
ojadwat@aclu.org; cwang@aclu.org

Jonathan L. Hafetz
SETON HALL UNIVERSITY
SCHOOL OF LAW
1 Newark Center
Newark, NJ 07102-0000
Email: jonathan.hafetz@shu.edu

Christopher Joseph Hajec
IMMIGRATION REFORM LAW
INSTITUTE
25 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite
335
Washington, DC 20001
Email: chajec@irli.org

Lindsay Claire Harrison
JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
1099 New York Avenue, NW,
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20001
Email: lharrison@jenner.com

Deborah Jeon
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION OF MARYLAND
3600 Clipper Mill Road, Suite 350
Baltimore, MD 21211-0000
Email: jeon@aclu-md.org

Scott Allen Keller
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL
Office of the Solicitor General
209 West 14th Street, 8th Floor
Austin, TX 78701
Email:
scott.keller@texasattorneygeneral.gov

Daniel Mach
Heather Lynn Weaver
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION
915 15th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-0000
Email: dmach@aclu.org;
hweaver@aclu.org

Steven Obus
PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP
11 Times Square
New York, NY 10036-8299
Email: sobus@proskauer.com

Yolanda Cher Rondon
AMERICAN-ARAB ANTI-
DISCRIMINATION COMMITTEE
1705 DeSales Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20007-0000
Email: yrondon@adc.org

Richard Brian Katskee
AMERICANS UNITED FOR
SEPARATION OF CHURCH &
STATE
1310 L Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005
Email: katskee@au.org

Kenneth Alan Klukowski
AMERICAN CIVIL RIGHTS
UNION
3213 Duke Street, No. 625
Alexandria, VA 22314
Email:
kklukowski@firstliberty.org

Karla Mari McKanders
HOWARD UNIVERSITY
SCHOOL OF LAW
2900 Van Ness Street, NW
Washington, DC 20008-0000
Email:
karla.mckanders@law.howard.edu

William Jeffery Olson
WILLIAM J. OLSON, PC
370 Maple Avenue, West
Vienna, VA 22180-5615
Email: wjo@mindspring.com

Benna Ruth Solomon
CITY OF CHICAGO
Department of Law - Appeals
Division
30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 800
Chicago, IL 60602
Email:

Edward Lawrence White
AMERICAN CENTER FOR LAW &
JUSTICE
3001 Plymouth Road, Suite 203
Ann Arbor, MI 48105-0000
Email: ewhite@aclj.org

benna.solomon@cityofchicago.org
Cody H. Wofsy
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
39 Drumm Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Email: cwofsy@aclu.org

DATED this 19th day of April, 2017.

HILLIS CLARK MARTIN & PETERSON P.S.

By: s/ Michael R. Scott

Michael R. Scott, WSBA #12822
Amit D. Ranade, WSBA #34878
Lisa J. Chalet Rahman, WSBA #51531
Hillis Clark Martin & Peterson P.S.
999 Third Avenue, Suite 4600
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone: (206) 623-1745
Facsimile: (206) 623-7789
Email: Michael.Scott@hcmp.com;
Amit.Ranade@hcmp.com;
Lisa.Rahman@hcmp.com